

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1480 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,056	-	02/18/2004	Rob Worsham	12013/50101	5746
23838	7590	06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON			MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB		
	1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1762	
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	i

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	Office Astion Comments	10/782,056	WORSHAM, ROB
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jennifer K. Michener	1762
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statury reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)[▽	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	February 2004	
•		is action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under	·	• •
Diennei	tion of Claims	,	,
_			
4)(	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application		
5)[	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn from consideration.	
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)			
	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement	
٠,٣		oreotter requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
-	The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
40	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	, ,
11)∟	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig    All b   Some * c   None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		oplication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prid	<del>_</del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,056

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of coating, classified in class 427, subclass
     2.1.
  - Claims 10-18, drawn to a coating apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 50.
  - III. Claims 19-29, drawn to a medical device, classified in class 424, subclass 422.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as vapor depositing a coating onto a nut, bolt, or screw instead of a medical device.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Art Unit: 1762

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as immersion coating in a solution of the agent instead of vapor depositing from a frozen target.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus, such as an immersion tank with a solution of the agent.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the Groups is not required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a coating including either a maskant, a polymer with

Application/Control Number: 10/782,056

Art Unit: 1762

drug, a non-thrombogenic agent, a lubricious material, a non-slippery material, a radioactive agent, a radiopaque agent, or a magnetic signature.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 19 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1762

9. A telephone call was made to Brian Hennessy on 6/8/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer K. Michener whose telephone number is (571) 272-1424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 571-272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jénnifer Kolb Michener

**Patent Examiner** 

Technology Center 1700

June 8, 2004